| Case 4:04-cv-4002  | 0-FDS Document 1  | Filed 02/10/2004       | Page 1 of 7                     |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 404264   |                   |                        |                                 |
| AMOUNT \$ 150,   |                   |                        |                                 |
| SUMMONS ISSUED   |                   | 121.0                  | FILED<br>LERKS OFFICE           |
| LOCAL RULE 4.1   | UNITED STATES DIS | TRICT COURT IN U       | LERKS OFFICE                    |
| WAIVER FORM  MCF ISSUED  120 Da 60   | DISTRICT OF MASS  | SACHUSETTS 2004        | FEB 10 P 12: 41                 |
| BY DPTY, CLK. SAN WELL STATE S | CENTRAL SE        | CCTION U.S. D<br>DISTI | DISTRICT COURT<br>RICT OF MASS. |
|  |                   |                        |                                 |
| GAIL SHAW,   | )                 |                        |                                 |
| Plaintiff,   | ý                 |                        |                                 |
|  | )                 |                        |                                 |
| V.   | )                 | CIVIL ACTION NO.       |                                 |
| AETNA LIFE INSURANC<br>Defendant.  | E COMPANY, )      | 04-400                 | 20                              |

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This action involves the Defendant, Aetna Life Insurance Company's ("Aetna's") wrongful denial of long term disability benefits to the Plaintiff, Gail Shaw ("Ms. Shaw") under an employee benefit plan of Verizon, formerly Bell Atlantic and NYNEX, while Aetna was the plan administrator of said plan. This action is brought pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132 and is governed by the Employee Retirement and Security Income Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), as amended, and set forth at 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq.

#### II. PARTIES

- The Plaintiff, Ms. Shaw is an individual residing at 184 Popple Camp Road,
   Petersham, MA 01366
- 2. The Defendant, Aetna is an insurance corporation, organized under the laws of Connecticut, with a usual address at 151 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, CT 06156-2970, doing business in Massachusetts, and was the plan administrator of the long term disability plan of

Verizon for employees located in Massachusetts at all relevant times of the allegations of this complaint.

#### III. JURISDICTION

- 3. This court has jurisdiction of this action because it involves a federal question under the Employee Retirement and Security Income Act of 1974 (ERISA), as amended, and set forth at 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (e) and (f). Jurisdiction is, therefore, conferred on this court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Venue is appropriate in this court because Ms. Shaw is a resident of Worcester County, Massachusetts and the acts complained of including the breach of the terms of the long term disability plan and the administration of the plan occurred in Worcester County, Massachusetts.

#### IV. ALLEGATONS

- 5. Ms. Shaw was employed by Verizon, formerly Bell Atlantic and NYNEX for about thirteen (13) years when she became unable to work on or about November 2, 1999 due to fibromyalgia.
- Initially, Ms. Shaw received short-term disability benefits from November 2,
   1999 through November 6, 2000 under Bell Atlantic's Sickness and Accident Disability Plan for
   Non-Management Employees.
- 7. Verizon notified Ms. Shaw that she was terminated as of November 7, 2000 and also informed her that she was eligible to apply for long-term disability benefits under the NYNEX Long Term Disability Plan for Non-Salaried Employees (the "Plan") when her short-term disability benefits were exhausted. At that time, Aetna was the administrator of the Plan.

- 8. At the time of her separation from Verizon, Ms. Shaw was a directory assistance operator, and a member of the union.
- 9. Ms. Shaw made an application under the Plan and Aetna approved her claim for long-term disability benefits in or about October 2000. In addition, Aetna advised Ms. Shaw that she would probably qualify for Social Security Disability Income ("SSDI") and urged her to apply for those benefits. Aetna referred her file to Allsup, Inc., a company that provides representation for Social Security claims.
- 10. Ms. Shaw's claim for SSDI was also approved by the Social Security Administration on March 16, 2001. As a result of the approval of her SSDI claim, Aetna reduced her monthly benefits under the "Plan" by offsetting her monthly SSDI from payments made under the Plan. Aetna also received reimbursement of \$5,060.50 for long-term disability benefits provided under the Plan for which she also retroactively received SSDI once her claim was approved.
- 11. Thereafter, beginning on May 2, 2002, Aetna arbitrarily and capriciously denied Ms. Shaw any further long-term disability benefits under the Plan.
- 12. At all relevant times from Aetna's approval of her claim until the present time and for the indefinite future Ms. Shaw has continued to be and will continue to be totally disabled as a result of fibromyalgia and any other medical conditions associated therewith or in combination therewith.
- 13. On May 23, 2002, Ms. Shaw appealed Aetna's wrongful denial of long-term disability benefits under the Plan. In a letter received on October 15, 2002, Aetna sustained its original denial of benefits. In that letter, Aetna advised Ms. Shaw, without providing the

limitations period, she could sue under "Section 502(a) of ERISA." Ms. Shaw exhausted all of her administrative remedies.

14. On September 21, 2001 and again on May 23, 2002, Ms. Shaw, through her legal counsel requested, in writing, a copy of the summary plan description of the Plan from Aetna. To date, neither Ms. Shaw nor her legal counsel has received a copy of the summary plan description of the Plan in accordance with those requests and the law of ERISA.

## COUNT I - 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (a)

- 15. Plaintiff realleges and repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 above.
- 16. Aetna arbitrarily, capriciously and wrongfully denied Ms. Shaw long-term disability benefits under the Plan from May 2, 2002 and continuing, and is liable to pay her all such benefits wrongfully denied.

## COUNT II – 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (c)

- 17. Plaintiff realleges and repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. Aetna has failed to provide Ms. Shaw the summary plan description of said Plan from September 21, 2001 and continuing, and is liable to pay her at the rate of \$100.00 per day for its failure or refusal to provide the summary plan description to Ms. Shaw or her legal counsel.

## V. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests the Court issue a declaratory judgment that she is entitled to long-term disability benefits under the Plan; that the Court determined the nature and the amount of the benefits wrongfully denied the Plaintiff; that the Court order the Defendant to

provide a copy of the summary plan description to the Plaintiff or her legal counsel; and that the Court enter an order for damages for Aetna's refusal or failure to provide said summary plan description; that the Court enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and award her interest and attorneys' fees on all damages she is awarded and such other relief as the Court deems just and fair.

#### PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE

GAIL SHAW, The Plaintiff, By her attorneys,

Marcia L. Elliott, Esq. (BBO# 564291) John M. Flick, Esq. (BBO# 652169)

Elliott Law Office, P.C. 307 Central Street Gardner, MA 01440 (978) 632-7948

Dated: February 9, 2004

## Case 4:04-cv-40020-FDS Document - Fred 02/10/2004

**S**JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  |   |  | DEFENDAN   |   | ,  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Gail Shaw  |   |  | Aetna I  | le Insurance  | CEompany   |
| (b) County of Residence (EX  | of First Listed Plaintiff Worcester (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  |  |  | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE<br>ODICONDEMNATION CASES, UNIVOLVED STRUCT  | SE THE LOCATION OF THE   |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Nan   | ne, Address, and Telephone Number)  |  |  | INSTRICT OF MA  | SS.  |
| Marcia L.<br>Elliott L<br>307 Centr  | Elliott<br>aw Office, P.C.<br>al Street, Gardner, M.  |  | Attorneys (If Kn   | ,   |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD  | OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  |  | ZENSHIP OF P<br>versity Cases Only)  | PRINCIPAL PARTIES   | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff   |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  | XX3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  |  | •  | DEF 1 Incorporated or of Business I   | and One Box for De fendant)  DE.F  Principal Place  4 4 4  This State  |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government<br>Defendant   | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citi zenship of Parties in Item III)  |  | of Another State   | of Business I   | d Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 n Another State  |
|  |   |  | or Subject of a ☐<br>ign Country   | 3  3 Foreign Nation   | □ 6 □ 6  |
| IV. NAT URE OF SUIT  | (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS  | FODE   | EITURE/PENALTY   | BANKRUPTCY  | OTHER STATUTES   |
| 110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of   154 Emedicare Act   152 Recovery of Defauled Student Loans (Excl. Vetemns)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Force losure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY         PERSONAL INJURY           □ 310 Airplane         □ 362 Personal Injury           □ 315 Airplane Product         Med. Malpractic           Liability         □ 365 Personal Injury | RY   610   620   625   625   630   640   650   650   650   660   660   670   6 | Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related S eizure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Co pyrights 830 Patent 840 Trad emark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 H IA (13 95ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g))   864 SSID Tile XVI | 400 State Reap portionment   410 Antitust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.   460 Deportation   470 Racke teer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer C hallenge   12 USC 3410   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900 Appeal of Fee Determant Data   900 Appeal of Fee Determant Data   900 Appeal of State Statutes   890 Other Statutory Actions |
| X□ 1 Original □ 2 Reproceeding St  | emoved from   | Reopen   | ted or $\Box$ 5 anothe (specified  | erred from<br>r district<br>y)  |  |
| AND IS GOVERN VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY  DATE 2 - 9 - 20 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  | involves the wrongful ned by the Employee Re UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 (See E(S) instructions):  JUDG E   | denia<br>etirem<br>N DEM<br>unsp   | l of long<br>ent & Sec<br>AND 8<br>ecified   | urity Act of  | Lity benefits 1974 (ERISA). if demanded in complaint: X <sub>1 Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub>   |
| RECEIPT # A  | MOUN APPLYING IFP   | ·  | JUDGE  | MAG. JUD  | GE   |

Document 1

Filed 02/10/2004 Page 7 of 7

# UNITED STATES DETRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).  I. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.  II. 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.  III. 1910, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 280, 310, 315, 320, 330, 440, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.  IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 480, 510, 530, 510, 520, 530, 640, 550, 860, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.  V. 150, 152, 153.  Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  None.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES NO  Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC \$2403)  YES NO  Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC \$22247  YES NO  Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts resides in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(g)).  A. If yes, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filing a Notice of Removal - are there ary medions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) |          | Gall Shaw v       | v Aetna Lite Insurance Company   |
|---|----------|-------------------|--|
| tocal rule 40.1(a)(1)).    I. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.   II. 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 890, 892-894, 985, 950.   III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 310, 320, 330, 340, 345, 380, 385, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 330, 385, 460, 891.   IV. 220, 422, 423, 433, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 690, 810, 881-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.   V. 150, 152, 153.   Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.   None.   Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?   YES   | •        |                   |  |
| I. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.    III. 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 728, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.   |          |                   |  |
| II. 195, 388, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.  III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.  IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 990.  V. 150, 152, 153.  Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40-1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this clistrict please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  None.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES NO Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC \$2403)  If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES NO Does the complaint in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division?  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  | 1        | local rule 40.1(  | a)(1)).  |
| 740, 790, 791, 820°, 840°, 850°, 840°, 850, 892-894, 855, 950.    III.  | į        | - Allerton Chang  | 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.   |
| 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.  IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 660, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.  V. 150, 152, 153.  Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  None.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES NO V  Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC \$2403)  YES NO V  If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES NO   Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC \$2284?  YES NO   Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  |          | II.               |  |
| 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.  V. 150, 152, 153.  Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  None.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES  |          | III.              | 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,  |
| Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  None.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES   | Ļ        | IV.               |  |
| this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  None.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES   |          | v.                | 150, 152, 153.   |
| Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES  NO   Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403)  YES  NO   If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES  NO   Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?  YES  NO   Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division  Central Division  Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division  Central Division  Western Division  If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO   NO   RNEYS NAME Marcia L. Elliott  |          |                   |  |
| Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403)  YES NO V  YES NO V  If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES NO S  Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? YES NO S  Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO SEE TYPE OR PRINT)  PER STATE OF PRINT STANKE Marcia L. Elliott   |          | None.             |  |
| Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403)  YES NO  YES NO  If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES NO  Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?  YES NO  Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  PRINCY'S NAME Marcia L. Elliott  Filing I pay Office D. C. 207 Central Stant Governmental Agencies affecting the publisher.  | ı        | Has a prior acti  | ion between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  |
| If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES   |          |                   | laint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See  |
| Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?  YES NO  Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  SETYPE OR PRINT)  RNEY'S NAME Marcia L. Elliott  Elliott Law Office R. C. 207 Central Street Courters MA. 04.440  |          |                   | house and  |
| Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?  YES   | ľ        | f so, is the U.S. | .A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  |
| Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  YES NO  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  FILIOT Law Office P. C. 2017 Central Street Cardina MA 04440  |          |                   | YES NO   |
| Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  YES NO  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  FILIOT Law Office P. C. 2017 Central Street Cardina MA 04440  | ŀ        | s this case req   | uired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC 62284?   |
| Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  YES NO  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  PRINEY'S NAME Marcia L. Elliott  Filliott Law Office Research States Courters MA 04440   |          |                   |  |
| A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division   | ħ        | Massachusetts     | rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule   |
| B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Western Division  If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  PRINEY'S NAME Marcia L. Elliott   |          | A.                | Notice of the state of the stat |
| agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division  Central Division  Western Division  If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES  NO  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  RNEY'S NAME  Marcia L. Elliott  Filiott Law Office R.C. 207 Central Street Conduct MA 04440  |          |                   | Eastern Division Central Division Western Division   |
| If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  NO  RNEY'S NAME  Marcia L. Elliott  Filiott Law Office R.C. 207 Control Street Condens MA 04440  |          | В.                |  |
| yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  RNEY'S NAME  Marcia L. Elliott  Filiott Law Office R.C. 207 Central Street Conduct MA 04440  |          |                   | Eastern Division Central Division Western Division   |
| ASE TYPE OR PRINT)  PRINEY'S NAME  Marcia L. Elliott  Filiott Law Office P.C. 207 Central Street Conduct MA 04440   |          |                   |  |
| RNEY'S NAME Marcia L. Elliott   |          |                   |  |
| Flight Law Office B.C. 207 Control Street Condens MA 04440  | y        | es, submit a se   | eparate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO   |
|   | y<br>ASE | es, submit a se   | eparate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO []  IT)   |

(Coversheetlocal.wpd - 10/17/02)